## REMARKS

This paper is filed in response to the Office Action mailed on July 9, 2008. Presently, Claims 1-22 are pending in the application. Claims 1-14 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. Claims 15-22 have been examined and stand rejected. Reconsideration of Claims 15-22 is respectfully requested.

## The Rejection of Claim 15 Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. Particularly at lines 9 and 15, Claim 15 recites the limitation "the copper clad laminate."

In response thereto, Claim 15 has been amended to recite "the non-copper clad laminate."

Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

## The Rejection of Claims 15-22 Under Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

Claims 15-22 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,910,266 (the '266 patent).

Enclosed herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer.

Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

## CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, applicants submit that all pending claims are allowable. If the Examiner has any further questions or comments, the Examiner is invited the contact the applicants' attorney at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPILE

Laura A. Cruz

Registration No. 46,649 Direct Dial No. 206.695.1725